

MATHEMATICS

SPECTRAL CHARACTERIZATION
OF FINITE-DIMENSIONAL ALGEBRAS

BY

RUDOLF A. HIRSCHFELD AND BARRY E. JOHNSON¹⁾

(Communicated by Prof. A. C. Zaanen at the meeting of May 22, 1971)

1. INTRODUCTION

Any algebra of endomorphisms of a finite-dimensional vector space has the "global finiteness property" that it is a finite-dimensional algebra, as well as the "local finiteness property" that each member has only a finite number of distinct points in its spectrum. Whereas the former property implies the latter, the converse implication is not generally true. In fact, consider the following two examples: (i) the convolution Banach algebra $L^1(0, 1)$, (ii) the algebra of all infinite matrices with only finitely many complex non-zero entries. Both are infinite-dimensional, but they do possess the "local finiteness property" (every element of $L^1(0, 1)$ is quasi-nilpotent relative to convolution). The first algebra is not semi-simple and the second one is not topologically complete. This sets our tune: are the semi-simple, topologically complete complex algebras eligible for the implication "local" \Rightarrow "global" to hold?

Although by no means necessary, we shall restrict ourselves to the familiar class of Banach algebras.

Theorem. *Let A be a complex Banach algebra for which every element has a finite spectrum. Then, A is finite-dimensional modulo its radical.*

Recall that the Jacobson radical $\text{Rad}(A)$ can be said to consist of those $q \in A$ for which qa is quasi-nilpotent (i.e. has spectrum $= \{0\}$) for every $a \in A$. Without loss of generality we may assume that A is semi-simple and that it has an identity 1.

In the events where A is commutative or C^* , very simple proofs can be given. For the general situation there is an enticing Baire category argument (which is supported by the fact that the local finiteness property implies that the spectrum depends continuously on the algebra element), but we have not even been able to establish along this line the existence of an upper bound for the order of the spectra. The key word in the following proof is: minimal idempotent. There is only a finite set $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ of these, and we have $\dim e_i A e_j < 1$. Despite the close

¹⁾ Research partially supported by NSF grant GP-21193.

resemblance to the Wedderburn-Artin structure theory of rings, the present minimal idempotents are *not* defined by the requirement that Ae_i be a minimal left ideal (since it is not known *a priori* that A has a socle), but rather by the customary partial ordering of projections.

A general reference is [1].

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

(a) Let $E \subset A$ be a maximal set of commuting non-zero idempotents. The existence of E is guaranteed by the Lemma of Zorn and we have $1 \in E$. We are going to prove that E is *finite*. In fact, if not, there would be an infinite sequence $\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ in E . Let

$$g_1 = f_1, g_2 = \sup(f_1, f_2) = f_1 + f_2 - f_1 f_2, g_{n+1} = \sup(g_n, f_{n+1}).$$

Each g_n is an idempotent, commuting with all of E and, hence, belongs to this maximal set E . The same holds for their differences

$$h_n = g_{n+1} - g_n,$$

for which we now have obtained the orthogonality relation

$$h_n h_m = 0 \quad n \neq m,$$

whereas $h_n \neq 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

Whether or not $\sup \|h_n\| < \infty$, we can always take a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ of non-zero complex numbers for which $\sum |\lambda_n| \cdot \|h_n\| < \infty$. Consequently,

$$a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n h_n$$

belongs to A . Since $ah_m = \lambda_m h_m$ or $(a - \lambda_m 1)h_m = 0$, each λ_m must belong to the spectrum of a , violating the finite-spectrum-assumption. Hence, E is a finite set.

(b) Let E be partially ordered by saying that $f \leq g$ if $fg = gf = f$. Since E is finite, we are able to indicate those elements e_1, \dots, e_n which are minimal relative to this ordering. We have both $e_i e_j \leq e_i$ and $e_i e_j \leq e_j$, whence $e_i e_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Moreover, every idempotent $e \in E$ is a sum of minimal ones, in particular,

$$e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = 1.$$

In fact, let e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k} be those minimal elements which are less than or equal to $e \in E$. Then, $e' = e - (e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_k})$ has the property that $e_i e' = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, whence $e' = 0$.

This decomposition of the identity leads to

$$A = Ae_1 + \dots + Ae_n,$$

but the Ae_i still not being manageable, we keep splitting

$$A = (e_1 + \dots + e_n) Ae_1 + \dots + (e_1 + \dots + e_n) Ae_n,$$

or

$$A = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_i Ae_j.$$

The rest of the proof consists in showing that the constituents $e_i Ae_j$ have finite dimensions.

(c) First, $e_i Ae_i$ is a Banach algebra with e_i as identity and each $a \in e_i Ae_i$ has a finite spectrum by virtue of the well-known equality ([1], p. 35, Thm. (1.6.15))

$$\sigma_{e_i Ae_i}(a) \cup \{0\} = \sigma_A(a)$$

(unless $n=1$, when $e_i=1$). However, much more can be said: every a in $e_i Ae_i$ has a spectrum consisting of precisely one point. In fact, if $\sigma(a)$ would contain the two points λ and μ , upon integrating along a small circle around λ with μ in its exterior, we would obtain an idempotent

$$p = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint (a - \zeta 1)^{-1} d\zeta$$

in $e_i Ae_i$, different from 0 and e_i , which would contradict the minimality of e_i .

Consequently, every a in $e_i Ae_i$ has the form

$$a = \lambda e_i + q,$$

where λ is the single element of $\sigma(a)$ and q is a quasi-nilpotent in $e_i Ae_i$.

We are going to show that $e_i Ae_i$ possesses no non-zero quasi-nilpotents, though, whence $e_i Ae_i = \mathbb{C} e_i$ and $\dim(e_i Ae_i) = 1$. We do this by proving that any quasi-nilpotent q in $e_i Ae_i$ must belong to $\text{Rad}(A)$. The latter being zero by semi-simplicity, this does imply that $q=0$. Thus, we have to verify the equality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(qa)^n\|^{1/n} = 0 \quad \text{for any } a \in A.$$

Using that $q = e_i q = q e_i = e_i q e_i$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (qa)^n &= (q e_i a)(e_i q e_i a)(e_i q e_i a) \dots (e_i q e_i a)(e_i q a) \\ &= (q e_i a e_i)(q e_i a e_i) \dots (q e_i a e_i)(q a) \\ &= (q e_i a e_i)^{n-1}(q a) \\ &= (qb)^{n-1}(q a). \end{aligned}$$

The element $b = e_i a e_i$ featuring here belongs to $e_i Ae_i$, so that we have reduced the problem from A to $e_i Ae_i$. That is, it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(qb)^n\|^{1/n} = 0 \quad \text{for every } b \in e_i Ae_i.$$

In other words, it remains to prove that *every* quasi-nilpotent $q \in e_i A e_i$ belongs to $\text{Rad}(e_i A e_i)$. Notice first that every singular element of $e_i A e_i$, having spectrum $= \{0\}$, is quasi-nilpotent. Starting with a regular $b \in e_i A e_i$, we see that bq , being a product of a regular and a singular element, is singular, whence quasi-nilpotent. Next, if b happens to be singular, or quasi-nilpotent, in $e_i A e_i$, we obtain a regular element $b_\lambda = \lambda e_i + b$ for every $\lambda \neq 0$, for which qb_λ is singular by the previous argument. The set of all singular elements being closed in a Banach algebra, we conclude that $qb = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} qb_\lambda$ is singular, or quasi-nilpotent. This completes the proof that $\dim e_i A e_i = 1$.

(d) Finally, we consider the Banach space $e_i A e_j$, where $i \neq j$. If this space equals $\{0\}$, it has dimension 0 and we are done. If $e_i A e_j$ happens to be $\neq \{0\}$, we will exhibit a linear isomorphism

$$R_j^i: e_i A e_i \rightarrow e_i A e_j.$$

By virtue of (c) this entails $\dim(e_i A e_j) = 1$.

In the first place, let $u \neq 0$ belong to $e_i A$. We claim that $uA = e_i A$. For one thing, we may write $u = e_i v$, whence $uA = e_i vA \subset e_i A$. For another, we show that $e_i A \subset uA$ in the following fashion. Suppose, indirectly, that $ute_i = 0$ for all $t \in A$. Then, $(ut)^2 = ut \cdot ut = (ute_i)vt = 0$, so that ut is nilpotent for all $t \in A$, whence $u \in \text{Rad}(A) = \{0\}$, contradicting the assumption that $u \neq 0$. Consequently, there exists $w \in A$ such that $uwe_i \neq 0$. Now, this element $uwe_i = e_i v w e_i$ belongs to $e_i A e_i = \mathbf{C} e_i$ by (c) and, hence, can be written as $uwe_i = \lambda e_i$, where $\lambda \neq 0$ in \mathbf{C} . Therefore, $e_i = u(\lambda^{-1} w e_i)$ and so $e_i A = u(\lambda^{-1} w e_i)A \subset uA$. The statement $uA = e_i A$ now follows.

Assume now that $e_i A e_j$ contains a non-zero element a . Then a is a *fortiori* a non-zero member of $e_i A$, whence $e_i A = aA$ by what we proved above and so $e_i \in aA$. Hence, there exists $a_0 \in A$ such that $e_i = aa_0$. We may write $a = e_i t e_j$, $t \in A$, whence $e_i = (e_i t e_j) \cdot (e_j a_0 e_i) = aa'$, where $a' = e_j a_0 e_i$ is a non-zero element of $e_j A e_i$. Repeat this argument with a' in place of a , to the effect that there exists $b \in e_i A e_j$ with $a'b = e_j$. We have

$$a = ae_j = aa'b = e_i b = b,$$

which says that a intertwines e_i and e_j in the sense that

$$e_i a = a e_j.$$

We now let a act as the right multiplier

$$R_j^i: x \mapsto xa$$

on $e_i A e_i$. Its range belongs to $e_i A e_j$, because $R_j^i x = R_j^i(xe_i) = xe_i a = xae_j = e_i x a e_j$.

Similarly, $y \mapsto ya'$ defines a linear map

$$R_j^i: e_i A e_j \rightarrow e_i A e_i.$$

By their very construction, these maps satisfy

$$R_i^A R_i^A = I \text{ on } e_i A e_j, \quad R_i^A R_j^A = I \text{ on } e_i A e_i,$$

showing that R_j^A is an isomorphism, indeed.

We conclude the proof by the remark that $A = \sum_{i,j} e_i A e_j$ implies $\dim A < n^2$.

*University of Hawaii
Yale University and
University of Newcastle*

REFERENCE

1. RICKART, C. E., General theory of Banach algebras, Van Nostrand, New York, (1960).